We report a dynamic hydrogel system with on-demand tunable matrix stiffness. The hydrogels are formed by thiol–allylether photo-click reaction using thiolated poly(vinyl alcohol) (TPVA), 4-arm polyethylene glycol)-allylether (PEG4AE), and mono-functional β-cyclodextrin-allylether (βCDAE). Adamantane-functionalized 4-arm PEG (PEG4AD) is used to stiffen hydrogels, whereas unmodified βCD is used to induce gel softening. The stiffening and softening processes are fully reversible and these hydrogels are ideal for investigating the effect of matrix mechanics on cell fate processes.

Dynamic cell-laden hydrogels are increasingly developed for studying the influence of matrix mechanics on cell fate processes. For example, stiffness of a cell-laden hydrogel could be irreversibly decreased through user-controlled or cell-mediated matrix degradation. On the other hand, the crosslinking density of some hydrogels could be increased irreversibly by applying secondary photo-crosslinking in the presence of the primary cell-laden hydrogel network. One common feature of the aforementioned strategies is that the changes in matrix mechanics are irreversible, hence these matrices might not be ideal for studying the impact of dynamic matrix stiffening on cellular mechanobiology. Here, we report a dynamic cell-laden hydrogel platform with post-gelation tunability in matrix stiffness, which is achieved by providing reversible host–guest interactions within the cell-laden hydrogel network. The hydrogels are prepared by a single step light-mediated thiol–allylether photo-click reaction using thiolated poly(vinyl alcohol) (TPVA), 4-arm polyethylene glycol)-allylether (PEG4AE), and β-cyclodextrin-allylether (βCDAE). The thiol–allylether photo-click gelation is compatible with in situ cell encapsulation and the stiffness of the hydrogel are tuned through non-covalent host-guest interactions between network-immobilized βCD and soluble 4-arm PEG-adamantane (PEG4AD) supplied on demand. The stiffening/softening processes are fully reversible by means of incubating gels in PEG4AD and βCD solutions, respectively. More importantly, the magnitude of the stiffness change can be tuned from several hundreds to a few kilo-Pascals, a range relevant to many cell fate processes.

The influence of matrix biomechanical properties on cell fate has been intensively studied in the past decade. In particular, the differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) has been shown to depend on substrate stiffness. Furthermore, mechanical properties of tissues have been implicated in invasion and drug resistance of cancer cells, as well as in myofibroblastic activation of hepatic stellate cells and valvular interstitial cells. It is commonly accepted that a cell culture matrix should present relevant mechanical properties for maintaining appropriate cell phenotype, and the ultra-stiff tissue culture plastics (TCP) fail to provide such a physiologically relevant context. On the other hand, commercially available three-dimensional (3D) cell culture matrices are mechanically unstable and with limited tunability in stiffness post-gelation. In view of the challenges facing these cell culture platforms, the past decade has witnessed increasing interests in 3D cell culture matrices with tailor-made and dynamically tunable biophysical and biochemical properties.

To affect cell fate processes in 3D, synthetic polymeric cell-laden hydrogels can be designed to undergo different modes of degradation, including hydrolytic, enzymatic, or photolytic degradation. Hydrogels can also be hardened through secondary radical-mediated chain-growth or step-growth photopolymerizations. For example, the presence of excess unreacted vinyl groups in the primary hydrogel network permits additional crosslinking reactions for network stiffening. Although this approach readily increases hydrogel crosslinking density and stiffness, additional radicals formed during secondary photocrosslinking might be a confounding factor. While these dynamic material systems have demonstrated improvements over the conventional static cell culture systems, the stiffness of these hydrogels can only be decreased or increased irreversibly.
A hydrogel system with reversibly tunable matrix crosslinking and stiffness should be highly desirable in the study of cellular mechanobiology. An approach suitable for achieving reversible matrix crosslinking is the supramolecular host–guest interactions, which have been used extensively to enhance solubility of hydrophobic drugs and to design self-healing polymers.30–32 For example, the hydrophobic cavity of macrocyclic molecules (e.g., CD, and cucurbit[8]uril) can reversibly bind to a variety of hydrophobic drug molecules (e.g., curcumin, paclitaxel, doxorubicin, etc.).33–36 In another example, light-responsive supramolecular hydrogels formed from azobenzene-functionalized hyaluronan (Azo-HA) and CD-functionalized polymers were used to encapsulate proteins and cells when Azo is in trans conformation, which permits CD/Azo complexation and network formation.37,38 Upon light exposure, Azo undergoes trans-to-cis isomerization, resulted in the disruption of CD/Azo complexes and the liberation of proteins and cells.37,38 Supramolecular ‘host–guest’ interactions between adamantane (AD) and CD have also been exploited for forming cell-laden hydrogels exhibiting injectable and shear-thinning properties.39–42 To the best of our knowledge, however, supramolecular chemistry and host–guest interactions have not been exploited to induce reversible post-gelation hydrogel stiffening and/or softening in the presence of cells.

Here, we report the design of cell-laden hydrogels with reversibly tunable stiffness by means of non-covalent and reversible host–guest interactions between pendant βCD and soluble PEG4AD. The primary hydrogel network was prepared from thiol–allylether photopolymerization (Fig. 1A) using TPVA (Fig. 1B) and PEG4AE (Fig. 1C) as the macromolecular crosslinkers. βCD-allylether (βCDAE, Fig. 1D) was co-polymerized in the primary hydrogel network as pendant ‘host’ motifs that can form additional physical crosslinks in the presence of soluble PEG4AD. Thiol–allylether photopolymerization was used to create the primary hydrogel network due to its orthogonal crosslinking, as well as its facile and quantitative immobilization of pendant βCD. Through supramolecular host–guest interactions, chemically immobilized ‘host’ molecules (i.e., βCDAE, Fig. 1D, Fig. S1, ESI†) interact with user-supplied ‘guest’ macromolecules (i.e., PEG4AD), resulting in increased hydrogel crosslinking density and elastic modulus. When needed, this ‘stiffened’ hydrogel can be ‘softened’ thermodynamically or through competitive binding provided by soluble βCDs. In principle, the process of hydrogel stiffening or softening can be repeated indefinitely if no other degradation mechanism exists.

To demonstrate the existance of orthogonal thiol–allylether hydrogels, we conducted in situ photorheometry using TPVA and PEG4AE in the absence (Fig. 1E) or presence of βCDAE (Fig. 1F). After the light was switched on, the thiol–allylether (TPVA-PEG4AE) gelation occurred very rapidly (gel point: ~2 seconds) and the time required to reach 95% of ultimate stiffness was only ~2 minutes. The addition of βCDAE in the precursor solution led to a higher ultimate gel elastic modulus ($G'$ ~ 2.4 kPa) and higher gel fraction (Fig. S2A, ESI†). One potential explanation for the higher initial gel stiffness in the presence of βCDAE (Fig. 1F) is that some βCDAE might have more than two allylether motifs that contribute to additional crosslinking (Fig. S1B, ESI†). Another potential is that immobilized bulky βCDAE decreased chain flexibility of linear TPVA, thereby increasing hydrogel stiffness. It is worth noting that, compared with similar light-mediated step-growth gelation using PEG-thiol and PEG-allylether, the gelation using TPVA and PEG4AE was faster and with the use of a significantly lower macromer contents (i.e., 1.6 wt% of PEG4AE with 2.5 wt% TPVA).43,44 This is likely due to the use of multi-functional TPVA (~10 thiol groups per molecule of PVA$_{6k}$D$_{50}$). Overall, the use of efficient thiol–allylether photoclick reaction produces a stable βCD-immobilized hydrogel network for subsequent evaluation of hydrogel stiffening/softening using soluble PEG4AD macromers.

To ensure that βCDAE was successfully immobilized within the TPVA-PEG4AE hydrogel network, we prepared hydrogels using stoichiometric ratio of allylether to thiol [i.e., $R_\text{allylether}/[\text{thiol}] = 0.8$]. When compared with gelation using unmodified βCD, significantly lower free thiol was detected in the presence of βCDAE, indicative of βCDAE immobilization in the primary hydrogel network post-gelation (Fig. S2B, ESI†). ATR-FTIR characterization results also confirmed the immobilization of βCDAE in the thiol–allylether hydrogel network (1.5-fold and 34-fold increase in the areas under alcohol and carbonyl peaks, respectively. Fig. S2C, ESI†). We also conducted additional in situ photorheometry experiments to show that the gelation was indeed due to orthogonal
thiol–allylether reaction between TPVA and PEG4AE and not a result of homopolymerization of allylether-macromers (i.e., βCDAE and PEG4AE, Fig. S3A, ESI†) or supramolecular ‘threading’ of βCDAE/TPVA or βCDAE/PEG4AE (Fig. S3B, ESI†). Another affirmation that βCD/PVA threading did not occur in this thiol–allylether gelation system (completing within 5 minutes) is that the threading events are typically achieved under extreme conditions such as high temperature (e.g., 90 °C), high βCD concentration (e.g., 70 wt%) or long incubation time (e.g., 2–72 hours).15–48

We hypothesized that the reversible association and dissociation between network-immobilized βCD and soluble multi-functional PEG4AD (M₆: 10 kDa) macromer (Fig. 2A) could increase the crosslinking density, and hence elastic modulus, of this hydrogel.32,49 We first investigated the tunability of hydrogel stiffness by incubating βCD-immobilized hydrogels in solution containing PEG4AD (Fig. 2B) at different concentrations. Since these thiol–allylether hydrogels were stiffened via host–guest supramolecular assembly, the amount of soluble PEG4AD supplemented to the βCD-immobilized hydrogels would affect the extent of host–guest interactions, and hence the degree of stiffening (Fig. 2C). As expected, hydrogel stiffness increased from 1.6- to 2-fold when the concentration of PEG4AD was increased from 2.5 wt% (i.e., 10 mM AD) to 5 wt% (i.e., 20 mM AD) (Fig. 2D). However, further increasing PEG4AD content to 10 wt% (i.e., 40 mM AD) did not yield an even higher degree of stiffening because the concentration of AD at this condition exceeded the total βCD concentration (i.e., 27.6 mM). As a result, additional PEG4AD became ‘pendant’ and did not contribute to the formation of additional crosslinking. We further evaluated the stiffening effect using TPVA-PEG4AE hydrogels with different initial gel stiffness. At a fixed TPVA content (2.5 wt%), increasing PEG4AE concentration yielded hydrogels with higher initial elastic modulus (Fig. 2E, 0.9 kPa to 4 kPa for 0.6 mM to 1.1 mM of PEG4AE, respectively). These hydrogels were separately stiffened using PEG4AD solution. Regardless of the starting equilibrium PEG4AE concentration, the stiffening effect using TPVA-PEG4AE hydrogels with different initial βCD concentration yielded hydrogels with higher initial elastic modulus (Fig. 2E, 0.9 kPa to 6.5 kPa). To evaluate the elastic nature of these hydrogels, we conducted frequency sweep oscillatory rheometry after incubating gels in the absence (Fig. S4A, ESI†) or presence (Fig. S4B, ESI†) of soluble PEG4AD. Results show that gel storage modulus (G’0) dominated loss modulus (G’0′) over the range of frequency tested, indicating the elastic property of the thiol–allylether hydrogels pre- and post-incubation with PEG4AD.

Although results so far show that the range of elastic moduli of hydrogels before and after PEG4AD-stiffening could be tuned in a physiologically relevant range (i.e., elastic moduli ranging from 0.03 to 6 kPa),10 it is necessary to determine the long-term stability of the in situ stiffened hydrogels. As shown in Fig. 3A, PEG4AD-induced gel stiffening (from ~2 to 3.5 kPa) could be maintained for more than one month as long as the βCD-immobilized hydrogels were incubated in PEG4AD-containing solution. After one month, the moduli of PEG4AD-stiffened gels started to decrease, which could be attributed to the hydrolysis of ester bonds in PEG4AD macromers (Fig. 2B). In a separate group where the stiffened hydrogels were transferred back to PBS following in situ stiffening, elastic moduli of the stiffened hydrogel decreased gradually (Fig. 3A, from 3.5 to 2.2 kPa in 48 days), most likely a result of the thermal relaxation of the host–guest interaction. Control experiments show that hydrogels incubated in either 4-arm PEG or PBS solution had minimal change in stiffness throughout the study, suggesting that the specificity of βCD/AD binding is essential in the stiffening of the hydrogels.

βCD/AD interactions are non-covalent, reversible, and can be disrupted through thermal relaxation or through a competitive kinetic binding process. We have demonstrated that PEG4AD-stiffened gels took weeks to soften when placed in PBS (Fig. 3A). This softening effect could be attributed to the dissociation and removal of PEG4AD from pendant βCD over time. Alternatively, a faster gel softening could be achieved by incubating the PEG4AD-stiffened hydrogels in solution containing unmodified βCD. Soluble βCD competes with PEG4AD for binding to immobilized βCD. As a result, the elastic moduli of PEG4AD-stiffened hydrogels incubated in βCD solution decreased from 3.1 to 2.3 kPa within 40 hours (Fig. 3B). When the in situ softened hydrogels were incubated in PEG4AD solution for another 40 hours, the hydrogels were stiffened again and the process of stiffening/softening was repeatable (Fig. 3B). For gels incubated in either PBS or 4-arm PEG/βCD, the stiffness remained steady throughout the study (Fig. 3B).
Comparing to other hydrogels with stiffening or softening potential, our dynamic thiol–allylether hydrogel offers a wider range of stiffness tunability (i.e., from hundreds to thousands Pascals). For example, Rosales et al. prepared step-growth Michael-type hydrogels crosslinked with azobenzene-modified peptides that undergo trans-to-cis isomerization upon UV/visible light exposure. The conformational change in azobenzene-containing peptide leads to changes in crosslinker length, and hence gel stiffness was controlled depending on light irradiation conditions. However, the magnitude of the elastic modulus change reported was about 100–200 Pa. Another difference between our approach and the light-responsive azobenzene-modified hydrogel is that the stiffness/softening of thiol–allylether hydrogels is a more gradual process (i.e., hours in our system vs. minutes in previous stiffening processes) that should be more relevant to the time scale of most cell fate processes.

To evaluate the cytocompatibility of this dynamic thiol–allylether hydrogel system, we performed in situ encapsulation of pancreatic MIN6 β-cells at a relatively low cell density (2 x 10⁶ cells per mL). This cell density was used because a previous work has shown that MIN6 cells encapsulated in radical-mediated chain-growth photopolymerized PEG-diacrylate hydrogels did not survive well if the cell density was below 5 x 10⁶ cells per mL. We found that the step-growth thiol–allylether polymerization is highly cytocompatible for MIN6 β-cells as the encapsulated cells were viable regardless of the initial gel elastic modulus (0.6, 1.5 or 3.5 kPa, Fig. S5A, ESI†). Furthermore, the encapsulated cells all formed multi-cell spheroids after 10 days of culture. While higher metabolic activity was detected in cells encapsulated in softer gel at day-10 post-encapsulation (Fig. S5B, ESI†), insulin mRNA level was lower in these cells (Fig. S5C, ESI†). Specifically, insulin expression was ~1.7-fold and 3.5-fold for soft and stiff gel, respectively (1-fold: insulin expression in cells encapsulated in 0.6 kPa gels). Another interesting phenomenon is that the sizes of cell spheroids formed within the softer hydrogels were noticeably smaller than those formed in the softer hydrogels, most likely because the stiffer hydrogels have higher crosslinking density that restricts the growth of the cell spheroids. The difference in cell spheroid sizes might be another confounding factor affecting insulin expression.

The higher insulin expression from cells encapsulated in stiffer hydrogel does not concord with a previous study conducted by Desai and colleagues, who cultured MIN6 β-cells using polymeric microwells with various moduli. The conclusion from that study was that softer microwells promoted insulin expression in MIN6 β-cells and isolated islets. Furthermore, the function of β-cells in static thiol–allylether hydrogels might be affected by different amounts of radicals formed during cell encapsulation, different gel elastic moduli post-gelation, and/or different cell spheroids sizes.

Using the thiol–allylether dynamic hydrogel system, it is possible to study the influence of gel stiffness on cell fate without introducing additional radicals post cell encapsulation and without the confounding factor of cell spheroid sizes. Here, we encapsulated MIN6 β-cells in βCD-immobilized thiol–allylether hydrogels and cultured the cells for 5 days to allow the formation of multi-cell spheroids (Fig. 4A, condition ii). After in situ gel stiffening (Fig. S6, from 1.8 kPa to 2.5 kPa, ESI†), the viability of cells was evaluated using live/dead staining. Compared to cell-laden gels that did not undergo stiffening, cells encapsulated in PEG4AD stiffened gels had slightly more cell death as revealed by the confocal images of live/dead stained MIN6 β-cells (Fig. 4A). Quantitative ATP assay showed a reduction (not statistically significant) in total intracellular ATP when cell-laden hydrogels were subjected to PEG4AD (Fig. 4B, ~250 and 220 pmol of ATP/gel with and without exposure to PEG4AD, respectively). Since the concentration of PEG4AD selected was within the non-cytotoxic range (Fig. S7A, ESI†) and cells remained viable post-stiffening (Fig. 4A), it is highly plausible that the increased gel stiffness altered intracellular metabolism signalling that led to a lower intracellular ATP content. More interestingly, MIN6 β-cells encapsulated in PEG4AD-stiffened gels had a 1.5-fold higher insulin mRNA level when compared with cells encapsulated in gels that did not undergo stiffening process (Fig. 4C). Results from control experiments show that PEG or PEG4AD did not induce up-regulation of insulin mRNA (Fig. S7B, ESI†). The effect of softening on cell fate was evaluated by incubating PEG4AD-stiffened gels in media containing βCD for 3 days (Fig. S6, ESI†). Compared to control gels that were not exposed to PEG4AD or βCD (Fig. 4A, condition iii), MIN6 cells encapsulated in hydrogel that underwent stiffening/softening had similar viability (Fig. 4A, condition iv) and ATP content (Fig. 4B, 180 pmol per gel). More importantly, there was a
crosslinked by TPVA, PEG4AE, and βCDAE exhibited rapid gelation kinetics and high tunability in crosslinking density. The process of gel stiffening/softening was repeatable by exposing gels in either PEGAD or βCD solutions, respectively. Most importantly, MIN6 β-cell fate is regulated in hydrogels that are stiffened in situ. Thiol–allylether hydrogel with immobilized βCD provided a wider range of stiffness tunability over existing dynamic hydrogels, and should be of great interest for studying the influence of biomechanical properties on cell fate processes.
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